

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/507,465		02/22/2000	Rodney C. Langley	M4065.0018/P018-A 2423		
24998	7590	05/14/2002				
DICKSTEI	N SHAP	IRO MORIN & C	EXAMINER			
2101 L STRI WASHINGT		20037-1526		ANDERSON, MATTHEW A		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1765	12	
				DATE MAILED: 05/14/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/507,465	LANGLEY ET AL.					
,,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew A. Anderson	1765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF Th	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10, 12-16</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449)						
10. Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: .the applicant questions the stated combination and the motivation for that combination. The examiner notes that sufficient reason to combine is provided by Nakayama as ensuring a uniform flow of processing gases obtained by rotation. Saeki et al. discloses that cooling the substrate allows precise control of the temperature at which the process occurs. Combination of two methods aimed at improving process control would have thus been obvious were greater process control was desired.

BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CFINIER 1700